1 Larry S. Dushkes (SBN 105629) **DUSHKES LAW CORPORATION** 2 2625 Townsgate Road, Suite 330 Westlake Village, CA 91361 3 Telephone: 805.267.1202 Facsimile: 805.267.1101 4 Attorneys for Proposed Conservatee, 5 Ellen Frenkel 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 9 10 11 Case No. BP 168417 In re the 12 CONSERVATORSHIP OF THE PERSON Report of PVP Attorney AND ESATE OF ELLEN FRENKEL, 13 Date: November 30, 2015 Proposed Conservatee Time: 10:30 a.m. 14 Dept: 9 15 16 1. **Appointment.** I was appointed on November 25, 2015 to serve as the PVP 17 attorney for the proposed conservatee, Ellen Frenkel (the "proposed conservatee"). 18 2. **Disclosures.** I am an active member of the State Bar of California. No 19 20 disciplinary action against me is now pending and none has ever been filed against me. I have professional liability insurance coverage. I have never represented any party to 21 this proceeding. 22 3. **Background** 23 The Other Players 24 a. 25 Michelle Frenkel – The proposed conservatee's adult granddaughter, who is the petitioner herein and one of the two alternate proposed conservators. Michelle is a 26 27 resident of Australia and lives about a 2-1/2-hour drive away from where the proposed conservatee lived when she was in Australia. 28

- David Frenkel The proposed conservatee's adult son (and Michelle's father),
 who lives in Boston, Massachusetts, and whose interests are 100% aligned with
 Michelle.
- Miriam Fehring The proposed conservatee's adult daughter, who lives in Toganga, Los Angeles County. For about three years, until a just a few months ago, Miriam lived with the proposed conservatee in Australia and was her selfappointed caretaker.
- Monique Cain A professional fiduciary, and the other alternative proposed conservator.

b. <u>The Proposed Conservatee</u>

The proposed conservatee is an 89-year-old female who is currently residing with Miriam. Until very recently, the proposed conservatee was a resident of Australia, living in or around Melbourne since 1939. A few months ago, Miriam brought the proposed conservatee to California to live with her, her husband and their 23-year-old son in Topanga. The proposed conservatee has limited mobility and is dependent on others for all activities of daily living. She is also suffering from moderate to severe Alzheimer's Disease.

4. <u>Interview of Proposed Conservatee and Miriam</u>

- a. <u>Miriam</u>. I met with Miriam and the proposed conservatee at their residence in Topanga on Friday, November 27th. I first met alone with Miriam, who, not unexpectedly, told me a story that was diametrically opposed to that told by Michelle. To make a long story short and to the point, each side (Michelle/David vs. Miriam) is accusing the other of lying, and each side claims to be able to offer the best care for the proposed conservatee.
- b. <u>The Proposed Conservatee</u>. I next met with the proposed conservatee semi-alone. I attempted to meet with her alone, but she became agitated in speaking to a stranger without anyone familiar around, so I invited Miriam's husband back into the room, which calmed the proposed conservatee down considerably. Miriam

was not present until the end of the interview. A cup of coffee and a peanut butter sandwich on raisin bread greatly improved the proposed conservatee's memory and ability to converse with me, and I was able to learn the following from my conversation with and observation of the proposed conservatee:

- She is a poor historian, both long-term and short-term, although she was able to
 accurately recount her exact date of birth and the country where she was born and
 raised (Germany). She could not tell me in which country she was presently.
- She knew the names of her two children (Miriam and David), but only with prompting. Ditto for her granddaughter, Michelle.
- When I asked the proposed conservatee if she wanted to move back to her home in Australia, but without Miriam, she became visibly agitated and was shaking.
- When I asked her if she wanted to live with Miriam, she replied yes and calmed down considerably.
- At the end of the interview, Miriam returned and asked her mother where she
 wanted to live. The proposed conservatee replied, "Wherever you [Miriam] are."

 took that with a large grain of salt as it appeared to be a programmed response.
- The proposed conservatee appears adequately cared for, fed properly and appropriately groomed and dressed, and her room appears suitable, if a bit cluttered and untidy.
- 5. Voluminous Documentation and Correspondence. In the 96 hours since my appointment, I had a lengthy phone conservation with Marc Hankin (Michelle's attorney of record) and a long talk with Miriam. I have also been bombarded with not less than more than 60 e-mails from the parties and their various supporters, together with nearly 1,000 pages of documentation. And counting.

6. Prior Conservatorship Proceedings in Australia

a. <u>VCAT Proceedings and Decision</u>. This is not the first go-around for the parties. Back on May 5, 2014, David initiated proceedings vis-à-vis the proposed conservatee with the Victorian Civil and Administrative Rights Tribunal (VCAT) in

Melbourne, Australia. Based on my review of the documents, this appears to be in many ways similar to the current proceedings, albeit an administrative, non-judicial, proceeding. On June 25, 2015, VCAT issued a lengthy, thorough and detailed written decision that affirmed its prior order appointing the State Trustees (akin to our Public Guardian) as, in effect, guardian of the estate of the proposed conservatee. No guardian (aka conservator) of the person of the proposed conservatee was appointed, leaving Miriam in de facto control of that aspect of the proposed conservatee's life. Here are some selected tidbits from VCAT's written decision that are relevant to the current proceeding:

- It was evident to [the VCAT investigator] that, while Mrs. Frenkel was currently comfortable and well cared for in her home with her daughter [Miriam] there, there was a high degree of conflict and hostility associated with financial decisions and future accommodation decisions. She noted that this conflict was causing some limitation in the contact Mrs. Frenkel had with other family members and friends. (Para. 6.)
- This [Mrs. Frenkel's capacity to make reasonable judgements about her personal circumstances] was the most contentious issue. It is also an issue to which there is no easy answer. In conducting this hearing I have become well aware that Mrs. Frenkel's family hold very different ideas about what is in her best interests, and different views as to the key decision before her, that of whether or not she travels to the USA to live for the time being with her daughter. Much of the medical and psychological evidence went to this question. Indeed much of it was sought specifically as to this question. (Para. 39.)
- By order dated 6 November 2014, I appointed State Trustees Limited (STL) as
 administrators. STL submitted a report to the hearing . . . [that] set out steps taken
 since appointment to make arrangements for appropriate payments for household
 and other expenses. Mr. Jason Molik, Senior Consultant for STL, said at the
 hearing . . . that there would be some difficulties in acting as administrator for
 someone who was not living in Australia, but that these were not insurmountable

- b. <u>Denial of Rehearing</u>. VCAT denied David's petition for rehearing by written decision issued on August 15, 2015.
- a restraining order preventing her from moving the proposed conservatee to California,

 Miriam did just that and moved back home to Topanga, taking her mother with her.

 Miriam and the proposed conservatee continue to receive a monthly stipend from the

 State Trustees of about \$2,100 \$2,200 (U.S.) per month, depending on the exchange rate with the Australian dollar.
- 7. Proposed Conservatee's Attendance at Hearing. It is unclear if the proposed conservatee desires to attend the hearing. I asked Miriam to bring her mother to the hearing, if at all possible. Nonetheless, if the proposed conservatee is not present, then her appearance should be waived.

8. Overview and Basis for Recommendation

a. The proposed conservatee's finances and assets are under the control of the State Trustee in Australia, and will remain so for two more years. (The order appointing the State Trustee will expire on November 5, 2017.) Having the State Trustee control the proposed conservatee's finances is a good thing, as it is fairly clear that Miriam took some \$125,000 (AUS) from her mother during the three years they were

Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a copy of the State Trustee's annual report for the fiscal year ended November 5, 2015.

living together in Melbourne, which including a significant period of time after the proposed conservatee's initial dementia/Alzheimer's diagnosis.

- **b.** Miriam receives a monthly stipend and can, and does, submit invoices for extraordinary expenditures to the State Trustee for approval and payment. In fact, the State Trustee has declined to pay some of the invoices that Miriam submitted, much to her chagrin.
- **c.** When VCAT's order appointing the State Trustee as, in effect, conservator of the proposed conservatee's estate expires in November 2017, Michelle and David are free to re-file a conservatorship petition with this Court, if they wish to continue to restrict Miriam's access to the proposed conservatee's funds.
- **d.** The proposed conservatee can communicate a little bit verbally, but understands little of what is said to her. She also has significant impairment to her memory, both long-term and short-term.
- **e.** The proposed conservatee is unable to function without assistance, has lost most of her mobility and needs assistance with all activities of daily living. She has absolutely no ability to make any medical or financial decisions for herself.
- **f.** The proposed conservatee has no understanding of the proposed conservatorship and is incapable of either approving or opposing it.
- g. The proposed conservatee has no Advance Health Care Directive in place,² no medical insurance and no one with the authority to make medical decisions for her. According to Miriam, all this will change when the proposed conservatee's immigration application is approved "in a few months." At that time, according to Miriam, she will be able to enroll the proposed conservatee with Kaiser Permanente. In the meantime, the proposed conservatee has had several emergency room visits and at least one several-day hospital stay.

^{///}

² The proposed conservatee executed an Advance Directive on August 31, 2001, but it is in the nature of a POLST, and does not name a health care agent.

- h. Whatever else may happen, there is no reason to appoint Michelle as the proposed conservatee's conservator. She has a husband and young child in Australia and has returned there. It would be nigh impossible for Michelle to take care of her grandmother from the other side of the International Date Line.
- i. The proposed conservatee is not a citizen of the United States and thus the issue of her ability to complete an affidavit of voter registration with assistance is moot.

7. Conclusion and Recommendations

- a. Although she is capable of attending the hearing, and I have requested Miriam to bring her mother to the hearing, the proposed conservatee's appearance at the hearing should be waived.
- **b.** The proposed conservatee is unable to approve or oppose the petition.
- **c.** A temporary conservatorship is necessary at this time because the proposed conservatee has no ability to attend to her health care needs and is uninsured, and there is no less restrictive alternative.
- d. In light of the proposed conservatee's uninsured medical status, I recommend that Monique Cain be appointed as her temporary conservator (person only) to oversee the proposed conservatee's health care, attempt to obtain medical insurance for the proposed conservatee (or, alternatively, determine if she can be enrolled with Kaiser Permanente), and oversee the proposed conservatee's application for permanent resident status here in the U.S.³
- **e.** The petition to appoint a temporary conservator of the proposed conservatee's estate should be dismissed without prejudice.
- f. JTDs no. 10 and 11 should be denied as moot if only a conservatorship of the person is ordered.

³ I have worked with Ms. Cain in the past and find her suitable and qualified.

1		g.	JTDs no. 12 –	· 19 and 22 -	- 24 should be denied	as either an
2	impermissib	le interf	ference with the	e temporary	conservator's discretio	on and authority, an
3	unnecessary	y and ill	l-advised attem	pt to relitigat	e the proceedings hel	d before and the
4	decision ma	de by V	/CAT, or both.			
5		h.	JTD no. 25 sh	ould be den	ed as an impermissib	le interference with
6	the PVP atto	orney's	discretion and	authority.		
7	8.	Requ	est for PVP Fe	es and Disc	<u>charge</u>	
8		I am r	neither requesti	ng PVP fees	nor a discharge at th	is time.
9						
10	Dated: Nove	ember 2	29, 2015		DUSHKES LAW CO	RPORATION
11						
12					D /// 0.D	
13					By: <u>/s/ Larry S. Du</u> Larry S. Dushkes	s, Esq.
14					Attorneys for Propos Ellen Frenkel	ed Conservatee,
15					Lilen i Tenkei	
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

VERIFICATION I know the foregoing report to be true of my own knowledge, except as to matters alleged on information and belief, which matters I believe to be true. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 29th day of November, 2015, at Westlake Village, California. /s/ Larry S. Dushkes **LARRY S. DUSHKES**



State Trustees Limited ABN 68 064 593 148 AFSL No. 238037 www.statetrustees.com.au 1 McNab Avenue Footscray, VIC 3011 GPO Box 1461 Melbourne, VIC 3001 T 03 9667 6444 F 03 9667 6410 DX320425 Melbourne

OUR REFERENCE

4709047-521-STRAUD

YOUR REFERENCE

CONTACT

David Straughair

TELEPHONE

9667 6444

DATE

6 November 2015

Dear Mrs Frenkel

Mrs Ellen Frenkel 179 Comanche

TOPANGA CALIFORNIA 90290 UNITED STATES

We are pleased to enclose a financial statement that discloses the income and expenditure on this account between 06/11/2014 and 05/11/2015.

The first page of the enclosed statement details assets and shows the closing balance of the common fund account(s). The remaining pages detail the receipts and expenses during this period.

Statement Overview (from 06/11/2014 to 05/11/2015)

Plus total income	\$130,156.03
Minus total expenditure	\$79,890.51
Closing balance of the common fund	\$50,265.52

Interest is calculated daily on the total balance of common fund accounts and is credited monthly.

Need assistance?

If you have any questions or would like more information, please call (03) 9667 6444 between 8:30 am and 5:00 pm, Monday to Friday. Alternatively, you can email us at pfaexternal@statetrustees.com.au

Yours sincerely

Nes Aliu on behalf of

David Straughair

Personal Financial Consultant

To obtain a copy of State Trustees' Privacy Policy Statement please contact us on (03) 9667 6444 or view the Statement on our website at www.statetrustees.com.au

www.statetrustees.com.au



Ellen Frenkel (Plenary) - 4709047 Asset and Liability Statement as at 5 November 2015

DETAILS	VALUATION DATE UNITS	LIABILITIES	ASSETS	BALANCE
JEINLID	2012.0		A STATE OF THE STA	
INTEREST BEARIN	NG HOLDING			
Bank Account Ho	Control Contro		SP184 56095	
WBC 033-034 **5	5528 22-DEC-14		26.85	
WBC 033-034 **	1379		1,000.00	
WBC 733-126 **5			512.50	
WBC 733-305 **8	22-DEC-14 3707		50.00	1,589.35
	03-FEB-15			
PERSONALTY				
F/E @ 47 Deakin	Effects Holding n St BENTLEIGH EAST VIC		5,719.00	5,719.00
3165	20-JUL-15			
Motor Vehicle 1	Holding atchback reg. UMK219		3,000.00	3,000.00
2000 116266 2 11	22-JUL-15		0.000	
REALTY				
House and Land 47 Deakin St B	ENTLEIGH EAST VIC 3165		705,000.00	705,000.00
	10-DEC-14			
STATE TRUSTEES	- COMMON FUNDS			
Cash Common Fur Common Fund	100 (100) S. A. A. A. C.		50,265.52	50,265.5
	05-NOV-15			
Totals		\$0.00	\$765,573.87	\$765,573.8

State Trustees Limited ABN 68 064 593 148

www.statetrustees.com.au

Melbourne, VIC 3001

Ellen Frenkel (Plenary) - 4709047 Statement of Account for the period 06 November 2014 to 05 November 2015

DETAILS	DEBITS	CREDITS	BALANCE
RECEIPTS			
Interest On Common Fund No 2		372.78	372.78
Income From Real Estate		5,214.00	5,214.00
Annuity / Allocated Pension		24,134.61	24,134.61
Pension - Department Veteran's Affairs		19,655.53	19,655.53
Pension - Department veceral a Arraira			
Totals		\$49,376.92	\$49,376.92
CAPITAL RECEIPTS			
Refund of Overpaid Account		894.17	894.17
Bank Accounts (Cheque & Savings etc.)			
WBC 733-305 **8707 09/02/15		3,191.61	
WBC 033-034 **0379 24/02/15		10,000.00	
28/04/15 14/08/15		56,693.33	79,884.94
		\$130,156.03	\$130,156.03
Totals		\$130,136.03	\$150,150.05
EXPENSES			
Insurance - Motor Vehicle	912.57		912.57
Repairs And Maintenance - Motor Vehicle	983.95		983.95
Running Costs - Motor Vehicle	206.65		206.65
Accountancy Fees	1,500.00		1,500.00
Bank Fees	48.00		48.00
VCAT Annual Administration Fee	122.40		122.40
State Trustees Income Commission	2,372.92		2,372.92
State Trustees Capital Commission	4,038.96		4,038.96
State Trustees Management Fee	151.01		151.01
Legal Fees - External	6,249.61		6,249.61
Attendant Care Fees	207.20		207.20

www.statetrustees.com.au

State Trustees Limited

ABN 68 064 593 148 GPO Box 1461 Melbourne, VIC 3001

Ellen Frenkel (Plenary) - 4709047 Statement of Account for the period 06 November 2014 to 05 November 2015

DETAILS	DEBITS	CREDITS BALANCE
EXPENSES		
EXPENSES		
Chemist (Medical)	2,264.97	2,264.97
Mobility Aides & Equipment	857.78	857.78
Podiatry	79.95	79 .95
Hospital Fees	3,566.43	3,566.43
Medical Fees - Deductable	350.37	350.37
Medical Fees - Non Deductable	787.00	787.00
Board & Lodging Fees	2,308.00	2,308.00
Holiday/Travel Expenses	15,609.53	15,609.53
Living Expenses	22,500.00	22,500.00
Home Help/Meals On Wheels Expenses	1,590.50	1,590.50
Home Help	54.90	54.90
Meals on Wheels	229.10	229.10
Meals	600.60	600.60
Insurance - Contents	429.79	429.79
Reimbursement Of Expenses	153.86	153.86
Infringement Notices	28.14	28.14
Agents Commission On Income	344.13	344.1
Council Rates	1,125.95	1,125.99
Letting Fees	440.00	440.00
Building & Contents Insurance	407.59	407.5
Property Repairs/Maintenance	3,322.35	3,322.3
Solicitors Fees & Expenses	1,162.00	1,162.0
Rubbish Removal	1,220.10	1,220.1
Cleaning Expenses	165.00	165.0
Gardening	400.00	400.0
Sundries Taken By Agent	15.60	15.6
Title Search Fees	72.10	72.1

Melbourne, VIC 3001

www.statetrustees.com.au

Ellen Frenkel (Plenary) - 4709047 Statement of Account for the period 06 November 2014 to 05 November 2015

DETAILS	DEBITS	CREDITS	BALANCE
EXPENSES			
Valuation Fees	823.75		823.75
Water And Sewerage Rates	596.00		596.00
Electricity Expenses	452.28		452.28
Gas Expenses	111.55		111.55
Telephone Expenses	315.61		315.61
Delivery Charges	56.05		56.05
GST Expense on STL Fees	656.26		656.26
Totals	\$79,890.51	\$130,156.03	\$50,265.52