

RUSCONI, FOSTER, THOMAS & WILSON

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
30 KEYSTONE AVENUE
P. O. BOX 10
MORGAN HILL, CALIFORNIA 95038

J. ROBERT FOSTER
GEORGE P. THOMAS, JR.
SUSAN VICKLUND WILSON
JOHN CRANDALL FOSTER
LISA C. ALVAREZ

ERNEST RUSCONI (RETIRED)

PHONE (408) 779-2106
FACSIMILE (408) 779-1553

E-mail: bob@rftw.com

February 26, 2002

Honorable Chief Justice
Ronald George
and
The Honorable Associate Justices
Supreme Court of California
300 South Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90013-1233

Re: In Re the Estate of Levitt
Court of Appeal Case #B140538

In Re the Estate of Labow
Court of Appeal Case #B142397
Supreme Court Case #S107776

Honorable Justices:

As a private practitioner specializing in Estate Planning, Probate and Trust Law, I respectfully request that this Court grant review to settle the important statutory interpretation requested on this appeal. I have been active regarding this particular area of practice of law and have participated in the drafting of that law. I am a former member of the Executive Committee of the State Bar's Estate Planning, Trust & Probate Law Section, wherein I chaired the Ethics Committee for several years.

My main points are the following:

1. Elder abuse is very real. This is particularly true in the case of financial elder abuse. It is frequently associated with physical abuse and neglect.
2. Public protection, though excellent, is inadequate, since the agencies are overburdened.
3. Private attorneys can and should be active in this area to protect our senior citizens. However, private attorneys need a financial motivation.
4. This decision, if left to stand, will continue the trend presently seen at the trial court level of severely limiting attorney's fees, which has effectively excluded from the Probate Code demented elder abuse victims having estates too small to inspire in conservatorship lawyers the expectation of being paid for their time. Those cases rarely appear in the Probate Court, and only do appear when the Public Guardian brings such cases, or when sufficiently affluent relatives pay for such legal services as they can afford.

RECEIVED FEB 28 2002

5. There would be no estate whatsoever in both of these cases if the attorneys had not acted to protect the individuals, and the victims would have died horrible deaths from neglect or worse. To limit the attorney's fees in order to create a larger estate, which would probably pass to heirs who were not concerned about the welfare of the individuals involved, or to pay for state medical costs, is to ignore the realities of the marketplace for legal services.

Respectfully Submitted,

RUSCONI, FOSTER, THOMAS & WILSON



J. ROBERT FOSTER

JRF/br
cc: Proof of service

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA)

I am a resident of the County of Santa Clara, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 30 Keystone Avenue, Morgan Hill, CA 95037.

On February 26, 2002, I served the foregoing document described as "Letter to Honorable Chief Justice Ronald George and Honorable Associate Chief Justices of the Supreme Court of California, on the interested parties in this action by placing true copies thereof in sealed envelopes addressed as stated below.

Attorneys for Defendant and Appellant Law Offices of Marc B. Hankin

Marc B. Hankin,
Attorney at Law
10680 West Pico Blvd. #315
Los Angeles, CA 90064-2223

Evan D. Marshall,
Attorney at Law
233 Wilshire Blvd. #550
Santa Monica, CA 90401-1210

Attorney for Conservatee-Respondent

Stephen E. Webber
Attorney at Law
3435 Wilshire Blvd. #1800
Los Angeles, CA 90010

Respondent

Labow, Frumeh
Complete Probate Administration
10780 Santa Monica Blvd. #345
Los Angeles, CA 90025

Attorney for Conservatorship of Peggy Page, Defendant and Respondent

A. George Giasco
Attorney at Law
729 Mission Street #300
South Pasadena, CA 93010

Respondent

Charles E. Davis
3430 Fay Avenue
Culver City, CA 90232

Office of the Clerk of the California Court of Appeal
Second Appellate District Division One
300 South Spring Street 2nd Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90013

Clerk of the Los Angeles Superior Court
for the Honorable Gary Klausner
111 North Hill Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012-3117

I caused such envelopes to be deposited in the mail at Morgan Hill, California. The envelopes were mailed with sufficient postage thereon fully prepaid.

I am readily familiar with this firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. It is deposited with the U. S. Postal Service on the same day in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, services is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing an affidavit.

Executed on February 26, 2002, at Morgan Hill, California.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.



Bonni B. Rice